
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 1:28 PM
To: Howard Brown; Garwin Yip
Subject: Re: CWF Sufficiency Review Letter

This is a follow up to my previous email with a link to a list of "comments and questions" from our "informal sufficiency review" of an earlier draft of the CWF BA. DWR hoped that this would be the final draft, then we hit them with this review, and...well, you'll see. Lots of things identified. It was nearly a year later that we got the Final Final Really It's Final BA.

However, were these all needed for sufficiency? Maybe not. I think that at times we got wrapped around the axle in things that were too detailed for what we really needed to do, and we lost time in addressing those kind of unnecessarily. In hindsight, I wish I would have been stronger in saying "let's put that in a parking lot and see if it is something we really need." Since you two have very strong and storied Sec 7 experience, I think it could be wise to establish you as the arbiters of what goes into a parking lot and what rises to either play into either sufficiency or "additional information."

M:\CWF\Sufficiency_Review\Suff_Rev_Files\Transmitted_To_Rec\Archive\California_WaterFix_Working Draft BA review_May 2016_draft technical assistance.docx

On Tue, Jan 29, 2019 at 1:21 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hi all --

In anticipation for our Thursday 2:30 meeting on ROC on LTO Sufficiency Review, this link takes you to what we provided to Reclamation for a letter of sufficiency for CWF. It includes a list of "additional information."

M:\CWF\Sufficiency_Review\SufficiencyLetter\20160906 CWF Initiation Response Ltr & Enclosure_F.pdf

Enjoy!
Cathy