
From: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 3, 2017 10:20 AM
To: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal
Subject: Fwd: Shasta Science Work Plan
Attachments: 11022017_ShastaRPASciencePlan.docx

Hi Garwin,

I'm on leave this morning and I'll sign into work later at 12:00, but I had meant to forward you the latest version of the science work plan. I tried to incorporate Maria's comments and then I shared it with Josh (who has yet to follow up with me after the meeting Wednesday).

Just keeping you in the loop,
Evan

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal** <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov>
Date: Thursday, November 2, 2017
Subject: Shasta Science Work Plan
To: "Israel, Joshua" <jaisrael@usbr.gov>

Hi Josh,

I've tried to incorporate Maria's comments (broadly) into the last version of the Science Work Plan and attached it. Give me a call when you have a chance and we can talk about next steps.

Thanks,
Evan

On Mon, Oct 30, 2017 at 9:04 AM, Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hey Josh,

I'm still waiting for Maria or Garwin to respond, so this is just a response to you, but I can agree with your point about a shareable draft and not having a final version yet. I know everyone wants it done so that we can move forward but that doesn't mean we should circumvent the 'process.'

As for the difference between a Work Plan, and a Science Plan (are those different from, or another name for the 'study plan' and 'annual plan' that you mention?), I'm not sure I understand the difference. You may have to explain the difference when we meet on Wednesday and if I made any changes regarding work plan vs. science plan, in the draft I only did so to try to be consistent throughout.

Lastly, one of the pieces that I felt was missing from the current draft was that for each management question category we don't propose any "methods" (i.e. example studies or research proposals) that would be appropriate to address the management questions. I don't know if you had any thoughts on that?

Thanks,

Evan

On Fri, Oct 27, 2017 at 12:54 PM, Israel, Joshua <jaisrael@usbr.gov> wrote:
Evan,

Thanks for the reminder.

I believe we should have a draft of the Science Plan we are comfortable sharing with stakeholders in early November, not a final plan. Some review of the Science Plan by them is an important step in collaborative science and adaptive management.

Also, I suggest we focus on this being a "Science Plan", which should not be mixed up with a work plan or a study plan that will go into annual work plans through IEP, CVPIA, or an adaptive management program. There are subtle differences in the wording we use, but will be important to structuring roles and responsibilities, expectations, and processes in future adaptive management efforts that we may want IEP, CVPIA, and other programs to contribute to. For instance, study plan will go through collegial or peer review, while annual plans will summarize which of the study plans are prioritized to achieve completion of the science plan. There are a lot of steps to developing how all the pieces fit together and this is just my suggestion how I'm seeing various documents for planning vs. documents for assessing are undertaken as part of an adaptive management framework. I'm interested in other people's perspectives on this too.

Josh

On Fri, Oct 27, 2017 at 9:55 AM, Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hi everyone,

This email is just to remind you that the attached, Draft, Shasta Science Work Plan is the most recent version of the Work Plan. Please review and provide any comments or revisions to Josh(?) and myself.

We should have a follow-up meeting to finalize the Work Plan before the November 6th CSAMP meeting so I've been looking at Wednesday (11/1) to do that. 10-11 on Wednesday works for NMFS so please consider that as a time to discuss.

Thanks,
Evan Sawyer

On Mon, Oct 23, 2017 at 10:02 AM, Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hi Maria,

Attached is the most recent iteration of the Science Work Plan for the Shasta RPA that Josh and I put together based on the previous versions and NMFS/Reclamation discussion. Hopefully everyone can review and provide feedback.

Once we review, we can have a follow-up meeting to finalize the Work Plan before the November 6th CSAMP meeting. I am still working with Anita to schedule that meeting but tentatively we've blocked Wednesday (11/1) 4-5pm.

Thanks,
Evan Sawyer

--
Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: [\(916\) 930-3656](tel:(916)930-3656)
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--
Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: [\(916\) 930-3656](tel:(916)930-3656)
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--
Joshua Israel, PhD
Chief, Science Division
Bay-Delta Office
Bureau of Reclamation
Sacramento, CA 95814
C: [\(916\) 296-8792](tel:(916)296-8792)
P: [\(916\) 414-2405](tel:(916)414-2405)

--
Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: [\(916\) 930-3656](tel:(916)930-3656)
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

