
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 11:50 AM
To: Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: ROC Analytical Approach

There are definitely some overlaps in the general descriptions, so I do think it better to go to the table. I'll look at your suggestions when I do the next revision (after I get all reviews hopefully today) and see if we can make it clearer.

Cathy Marcinkevage
California Central Valley Office
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-5648
Cell: (562) 537-8734
cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov

On Mar 26, 2019, at 11:46 AM, Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov> wrote:

I looked at the AA for magnitude definitions to use in the American River Effects section and noticed the general description of Medium magnitude seems to be missing a statement about proportion affected, or perhaps the severity should be short of lethal. The description seems too harsh to be "Medium". Based on the description, a lethal stressor that occurs at high frequency could be called "Medium" in terms of magnitude.

"Medium: Severity may range from lethal to minor, and frequency of exposure is generally high or medium."

One option for downgrading "Medium" is the following, although it does generate some overlap with the description for "Low".

"Severity may range from lethal to minor, **proportion exposed is medium or small**, and frequency of exposure is generally medium or small."

--

Brian Ellrott
Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov