
From: J. Stuart - NOAA Federal <j.stuart@noaa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2018 2:08 PM
To: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: TIME SENSITIVE REVIEW of alternatives to the I:E ratio

I was comfortable with Garwin's draft, The thought I had yesterday when I presented it to Garwin was to give a "carrot" for letting more water down on top of the required flows. and let them export it like what was done this year for teh WIIN Act. Thoughts Barb?

On Wed, Jun 27, 2018 at 2:03 PM, Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
Seems like the old #3 (that you deleted), coupled with lower steelhead triggers, would work, wouldn't?

Also, a huge disclaimer to this is that we are not proponents of any of them, nor have we conducted effects analyses to determine whether any given suggestion is equally or more protective.

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip
Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
[650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100](http://650CapitolMall.com)
[Sacramento, CA 95814](http://SacramentoCA95814)
Office: 916-930-3611
Cell: 916-716-6558
FAX: 916-930-3629
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



On Wed, Jun 27, 2018 at 1:59 PM, Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov> wrote:
See attached. My substantive edits (all edits in track changes) were to:
1. delete the option that allows 1:1 over 6,000 cfs at Vernalis. I'm not opposed to exploring this option, but in above normal and wet years it will likely lead to higher exports compared to the BiOp (which would require 4:1 in Above Normal and Wet years).
2. replace the table for "Phase 1 of IV.2.1" (which was a mix of one element of Phase 1 with Phase 2) with the first two elements of Phase 1 (pulled verbatim from the Word version of the 2009 BiOp -- don't think were changes in the amended RPA). The formatting will probably be a bit wonky here even if changes accepted...

On Wed, Jun 27, 2018 at 1:37 PM, Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
BTW, at the end of #3, I added the same text as at the end of #4 that we'll have to change the steelhead loss trigger values.

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip

Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office

[650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100](#)

[Sacramento, CA 95814](#)

[Office: 916-930-3611](#)

Cell: 916-716-6558

FAX: 916-930-3629

[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](#)



On Wed, Jun 27, 2018 at 1:34 PM, Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
I'll give you guys until 2 p.m. to review and provide any edits (no comments, please) to the attached.

I'm sending as track changes so Barb can see what I did to the base document, but please accept the track changes first before providing your own.

Tick tock, tick tock...

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip

Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office

[650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100](#)

[Sacramento, CA 95814](#)

[Office: 916-930-3611](#)

Cell: 916-716-6558

FAX: 916-930-3629

[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](#)



--
Barb Byrne

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

Office: 916-930-5612

Mobile (no reception at my desk): 916-761-9952

barbara.byrne@noaa.gov

California Central Valley Office

[650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100](#)

[Sacramento, CA 95814](#)



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--
Jeffrey S. Stuart, M.S.

Fishery Biologist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

California Central Valley Office

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100

Sacramento, CA 95814-4706

Office: 916-930-3607

J.Stuart@noaa.gov



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

