

Farhi, Arden

From: Farhi, Arden
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2019 4:54 PM
To: Scott Smullen - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: CBS News inquiry

Very helpful -- thank you, Scott.

From: Scott Smullen - NOAA Federal <scott.smullen@noaa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2019 4:51 PM
To: Farhi, Arden <FarhiA@cbsnews.com>
Subject: Re: CBS News inquiry

External Email

Arden,

You will have to call Commerce Public Affairs about the NYT story accusations. 202-482-4883

About the scientific integrity issue, we feel Craig's email speaks for itself and no further elaboration is needed. However, this related statement may be of some help. You can attribute it to me. Thanks -Scott

"NOAA's policies on [scientific integrity](#) and [communications](#) are among the strongest in the federal government, and get high marks from third party observers. The agency's senior career leaders are free to express their opinions about matters of agency operations and science. The agency will not be providing further official comment, and will not speculate on internal reviews."

Here is a copy of Craig's email:

From: Craig McLean - NOAA Federal <craig.mclean@noaa.gov>
Subject: Hurricane Dorian and Exceptional Service
Date: September 8, 2019 at 9:55:45 PM PDT

Dear Colleagues,

The fierce storm we know as Hurricane Dorian has concluded its ferocious path through the Bahamas and along the US East Coast. Many of you have contributed to the excellent science that has underpinned the forecasts and current understanding of storms such as this one, which accelerated quite rapidly in intensity. The storm also presented challenges in track which improved with enhanced observations. We know that our collective work, from the scientists in the aircraft penetrating the storm, to the scientists deploying the glider picket line, to the modelers and folks working the physics of the storms, across OAR and in our CI's, and across all NOAA Lines, we are working the problem in order to give the NWS forecasters the best tools we possibly can to keep America and our neighbors safe. Thank you.

During the course of the storm, as I am sure you are aware, there were routine and exceptional expert forecasts, the best possible, issued by the NWS Forecasters. These are remarkable colleagues of ours, who receive our products, use them well, and provide the benefit of their own experience in announcing accurate forecasts accompanied by the distinction of all credible scientists -- they sign their work. As I'm sure you also know, there was a complex issue involving the President commenting on the path of the hurricane. The NWS Forecaster(s) corrected any public misunderstanding in an expert and timely way, as they should. There followed, last Friday, an unsigned press release from "NOAA" that

inappropriately and incorrectly contradicted the NWS forecaster. My understanding is that this intervention to contradict the forecaster was not based on science but on external factors including reputation and appearance, or simply put, political. Our NOAA Scientific Integrity Policy and Code of Scientific Conduct make clear that all NOAA employees shall approach all scientific activities with honesty, objectively, and completely, without allegiance to individuals, organizations, or ideology. The content of this press release is very concerning as it compromises the ability of NOAA to convey life-saving information necessary to avoid substantial and specific danger to public health and safety. If the public cannot trust our information, or we debase our forecaster's warnings and products, that specific danger arises.

You know that the value of our science is in the complexity of our understanding, our ability to convey that understanding to a wide audience of users of this information, and to establish and sustain the public trust in the truth and legitimacy of that information. Unfortunately, the press release of last Friday violated this trust and violated NOAA's policies of scientific integrity. In my role as Assistant Administrator for Research, and as I continue to administratively serve as Acting Chief Scientist, I am pursuing the potential violations of our NOAA Administrative Order on Scientific Integrity. Thankfully, we have such policies that are independently cited as among the best in the federal community, if not the best. Your NOAA and OAR management and leadership team believes in these policies and principles. I have a responsibility to pursue these truths. I will.

Thank you for your continued excellent work, and your trust. Carry on.

Craig

Craig N. McLean
Assistant Administrator
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce

On Mon, Sep 9, 2019 at 4:45 PM Julie Roberts - NOAA Federal <julie.roberts@noaa.gov> wrote:

Kindest regards,
Julie Kay Roberts
Deputy Chief of Staff
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Farhi, Arden" <FarhiA@cbsnews.com>
Date: September 9, 2019 at 4:40:40 PM EDT
To: Julie Roberts - NOAA Federal <julie.roberts@noaa.gov>
Subject: Re: CBS News inquiry

Julie - does NOAA have anything to say about the NYT report that Secretary Ross threatened to fire NOAA leadership after the tweet from NWS-Birmingham?

Also, can you confirm there is an investigation into "potential violations" in the agency's decision to back President Trump's statements? I understand there was an email circulated by Craig McLean. Thanks.

Arden Farhi
White House producer
CBS News
646.761.1168

From: Julie Roberts - NOAA Federal <julie.roberts@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 5, 2019 11:40 AM
To: Farhi, Arden <FarhiA@cbsnews.com>
Cc: Watson, Kathryn <kathryn.watson@cbsinteractive.com>; Reid, Paula <ReidP@cbsnews.com>
Subject: Re: CBS News inquiry

External Email

Arden

Please contact the White House Press Office.

Kindest regards,
Julie Kay Roberts
Deputy Chief of Staff
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

On Sep 5, 2019, at 10:50 AM, Farhi, Arden <FarhiA@cbsnews.com> wrote:

Hi Julie --

Question for you regarding yesterday's Oval Office event with the president:

Was the map that he presented an accurate reflection of NOAA or NHC's work?

In other words, was the map the president showed reporters identical to the map your agency provided him?

Thank you.

Arden

Arden Farhi
White House producer
CBS News
646.761.1168

--

Scott Smullen
Deputy Director

(b)(6)

NOAA Communications

202-482-1097 o / [REDACTED] (b)(6) c